5936 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$

1362 independent reflections

971 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(E)-2-(2-Nitroprop-1-enyl)thiophene

Zhao-Bo Li,^a* Li-Li Shen^b and Jia-Jia Li^c

^aHangzhou Minsheng Pharmaceutical Group Co. Ltd, Hangzhou 310000, People's Republic of China, ^bZhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310000, People's Republic of China, and ^cHangzhou Radio & TV University, Hangzhou 310000, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: lzb@hz.cn

Received 15 March 2011; accepted 22 March 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.049; wR factor = 0.170; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.4.

The title compound, $C_7H_7NO_2S$, adopts an *E* conformation about the C=C bond. The torsion angle C=C-C-C is -177.7 (3)°. The crystal structure features weak intermolecular by C-H···O interactions.

Related literature

For the use of nitroalkenes as organic intermediates, see: Ballini & Petrini (2004); Berner *et al.* (2002); Ono (2001).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_7H_7NO_2S$ $M_r = 169.20$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 6.7545 (6) Å b = 16.6940 (13) Å c = 7.4527 (4) Å $\beta = 110.640$ (7)°

 $V = 786.42 (10) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K radiation $\mu = 0.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K $0.31 \times 0.18 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID

diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995) $T_{\min} = 0.879, T_{\max} = 0.942$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	102 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.170$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1362 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\overline{C6-H6\cdots O2^{i}}$	0.93	2.60	3.511 (5)	168
Symmetry code: (i)	$-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -$	$z + \frac{3}{2}$.		

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 2006); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku Americas and Rigaku, 2007); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors are grateful to Mr Jianming Gu for the X-ray single crystal analysis.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JH2274).

References

Ballini, R. & Petrini, M. (2004). Tetrahedron, 60, 1017-1047.

Berner, O. M., Tedeschi, L. & Enders, D. (2002). Eur. J. Org. Chem. 12, 1877– 1894.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Ono, N. (2001). The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis. New York: Wiley-VCH.

Rigaku (2006). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Rigaku Americas and Rigaku (2007). CrystalStructure. Rigaku Americas, The Woodlands, Texas, USA, and Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o983 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811010622]

(E)-2-(2-Nitroprop-1-enyl)thiophene

Z.-B. Li, L.-L. Shen and J.-J. Li

Comment

Nitroalkenes are important organic intermediates, since they can be converted to synthetically useful N– and O-containing organic molecules, such as amines, aldehydes, carboxylic acids, or denitrated compounds (Ono, 2001; Berner *et al.*, 2002; Ballini & Petrini, 2004). As a contribution in this field, we have synthesized a series of nitroalkenes by employing benzaldehydes and nitroethane. We report here one of this nitroalkenes, *i.e.* the crystal structure of the title compound. The C2=C3 bond involves the *E* configuration with the C2–C3–C4–C5 torsion angle of 177.71 (3)° (Fig. 1). The atoms of the thiophene ring are coplanar. The conformation of (I) is stabilized by weak intermolecular by C6–H6···O2' interaction (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

Experimental

To a solution of thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (50 mmol) in AcOH (25 mL), nitroethane (75 mmol) was added, followed by butylamine (100 mmol, 7.4 mL). The mixture was sonicated at 60 °C, until GC showed full conversion of the aldehyde. The mixture was poured into ice water, the precipitate was filtered off, washed with water and recrystallized from EtOH/EtOAc to give the product. Single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of an cyclohexane-EtOAc solution (10:1, v/v).

Refinement

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$ or 1.5 $U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms.

Figures



Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of the title compound with the atomic labeling scheme; displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Fig. 2. The view of intermolecular interaction illustrated as dash lines.

(E)-2-(2-Nitroprop-1-enyl)thiophene

Crystal data	
$C_7H_7NO_2S$	F(000) = 352
$M_r = 169.20$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.429 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$

supplementary materials

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Hall symbol: -P 2yn
<i>a</i> = 6.7545 (6) Å
b = 16.6940(13) Å
c = 7.4527 (4) Å
$\beta = 110.640 \ (7)^{\circ}$
$V = 786.42 (10) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

Data collection

Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 3534 reflections
$\theta = 3.2 - 27.4^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 296 K
Prism, yellow
$0.31\times0.18\times0.17~mm$

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer	1362 independent reflections
Radiation source: rolling anode	971 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$
Detector resolution: 10.00 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$
ω scans	$h = -7 \rightarrow 8$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)	$k = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\min} = 0.879, \ T_{\max} = 0.942$	$l = -8 \rightarrow 8$
5936 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.170$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0837P)^{2} + 0.8184P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.00	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
1362 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
102 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc [*] =kFc[1+0.001xFc ² λ^3 /sin(2 θ)] ^{-1/4}
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	Extinction coefficient: 0,0067 (6)

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction coefficient: 0.0067 (6)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, where *F* is the threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ and $F^2 = \sigma(F^2)$.

factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
S1	0.21787 (15)	0.56891 (6)	0.61453 (16)	0.0684 (5)
C4	0.4914 (5)	0.5654 (2)	0.7041 (5)	0.0496 (8)
C3	0.6192 (5)	0.4976 (2)	0.7933 (4)	0.0500 (8)
Н3	0.7644	0.5067	0.8373	0.060*
N1	0.7349 (5)	0.36806 (18)	0.9203 (4)	0.0584 (8)
O2	0.9190 (4)	0.39008 (17)	0.9632 (5)	0.0775 (9)
C2	0.5624 (5)	0.4238 (2)	0.8227 (5)	0.0493 (8)
01	0.6885 (5)	0.30107 (17)	0.9578 (5)	0.0832 (9)
C5	0.5793 (6)	0.64019 (19)	0.6781 (5)	0.0512 (8)
Н5	0.7230	0.6515	0.7150	0.061*
C1	0.3450 (6)	0.3888 (2)	0.7708 (6)	0.0652 (10)
H1A	0.2975	0.3941	0.8775	0.098*
H1B	0.3487	0.3332	0.7398	0.098*
H1C	0.2496	0.4168	0.6621	0.098*
C7	0.2176 (6)	0.6653 (2)	0.5463 (6)	0.0698 (11)
H7	0.0948	0.6947	0.4869	0.084*
C6	0.4120 (6)	0.6951 (2)	0.5863 (6)	0.0675 (11)
Н6	0.4365	0.7475	0.5568	0.081*

Fractional atomic coordi	nates and isotropic of	r equivalent isotropic	displacement parameters	: (Å ²)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.0499 (6)	0.0587 (7)	0.0895 (8)	-0.0017 (4)	0.0158 (5)	0.0006 (5)
C4	0.0447 (19)	0.051 (2)	0.0507 (19)	-0.0015 (14)	0.0131 (15)	-0.0040 (14)
C3	0.0419 (17)	0.052 (2)	0.052 (2)	-0.0039 (14)	0.0124 (15)	-0.0078 (15)
N1	0.0557 (19)	0.0507 (19)	0.0660 (19)	0.0060 (14)	0.0180 (15)	0.0000 (14)
O2	0.0474 (15)	0.0661 (18)	0.107 (2)	0.0055 (12)	0.0125 (15)	0.0016 (16)
C2	0.0468 (18)	0.0464 (19)	0.0532 (19)	0.0018 (14)	0.0157 (15)	-0.0040 (14)
01	0.079 (2)	0.0533 (17)	0.118 (3)	0.0078 (14)	0.0349 (18)	0.0175 (16)
C5	0.056 (2)	0.0418 (18)	0.0499 (19)	0.0022 (14)	0.0116 (15)	0.0006 (14)
C1	0.054 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.082 (3)	-0.0085 (17)	0.0198 (19)	0.0007 (19)
C7	0.058 (2)	0.058 (2)	0.083 (3)	0.0100 (18)	0.012 (2)	0.002 (2)
C6	0.074 (3)	0.047 (2)	0.076 (3)	-0.0032 (18)	0.019 (2)	0.0038 (18)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C7	1.688 (4)	C2—C1	1.499 (5)
S1—C4	1.730 (3)	C5—C6	1.429 (5)
C4—C5	1.425 (5)	С5—Н5	0.9300
C4—C3	1.436 (5)	C1—H1A	0.9600
C3—C2	1.331 (5)	C1—H1B	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	C1—H1C	0.9600

supplementary materials

N1—O1	1.220 (4)	С7—С6	1.336 (5)
N1—O2	1.226 (4)	С7—Н7	0.9300
N1—C2	1.469 (4)	С6—Н6	0.9300
C7—S1—C4	92.09 (18)	С4—С5—Н5	125.4
C5—C4—C3	122.8 (3)	С6—С5—Н5	125.4
C5—C4—S1	110.9 (2)	C2—C1—H1A	109.5
C3—C4—S1	126.3 (3)	C2—C1—H1B	109.5
C2—C3—C4	130.0 (3)	H1A—C1—H1B	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	115.0	C2—C1—H1C	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	115.0	H1A—C1—H1C	109.5
O1—N1—O2	122.3 (3)	H1B—C1—H1C	109.5
O1—N1—C2	118.1 (3)	C6—C7—S1	113.0 (3)
O2—N1—C2	119.6 (3)	С6—С7—Н7	123.5
C3—C2—N1	116.3 (3)	S1—C7—H7	123.5
C3—C2—C1	129.2 (3)	C7—C6—C5	114.7 (4)
N1—C2—C1	114.5 (3)	С7—С6—Н6	122.7
C4—C5—C6	109.3 (3)	С5—С6—Н6	122.7
C7—S1—C4—C5	-0.3 (3)	O1—N1—C2—C1	-3.2 (5)
C7—S1—C4—C3	179.8 (3)	O2—N1—C2—C1	177.6 (3)
C5—C4—C3—C2	-177.7 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-179.8 (3)
S1—C4—C3—C2	2.1 (6)	S1—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (4)
C4—C3—C2—N1	-179.7 (3)	C4—S1—C7—C6	0.2 (4)
C4—C3—C2—C1	0.0 (6)	S1—C7—C6—C5	-0.1 (5)
O1—N1—C2—C3	176.6 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.2 (5)
O2—N1—C2—C3	-2.6 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
C6—H6···O2 ⁱ	0.93	2.60	3.511 (5)	168
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3/2$, $y+1/2$, $-z+3/2$.				



Fig. 1



